

No. ID/RTK/99-83/44678.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satbir Singh and the management of M/s Somany Pil-Kingtons Ltd, Kassar Bahadurgarh (Rohtak) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Satbir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/RTK/112-83/44685.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Narain and the management of M/s Saraswati Art Press, Outside Quila Mohalla, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shiv Narain was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FDII/131-83/45107.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Fakira and the management of M/s Haryana Paper Mills, 51, N.I.T, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Fakira was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/92-83/45149.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Sri Ram and the management of Cheif Engincer, Tharmal Power House, HSEB, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sri Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/97-83/45156.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Ram Parkash and the management of M/s Vinod Industries 17-B Pvt. Ltd, NIT, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted, under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 5th September, 1983

No. ID(RTK/71-83/45357).—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Umed Singh and the management of M/s Mathru wood works Nahri Nehri RD. Bhadurgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1), section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refer to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in disputes or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Umed Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/63-83/45364.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rama Shankar and the management of M/s Contractor, Tirath Ram C/o M/s Jotindra Steel & Tubes Ltd., 14/5 Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rama Shakar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/112-83/45371.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kamla Parshad Singh and the management of M/s Sidana Engg. Works, 171/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kamla Parshad Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/179-83/45378.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gian Chand Sharma and the management of M/s Deva Brothers C.B. Railway Road, N.I.T. Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gian Chand Sharma was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?